

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/580,583		05/30/2000	Toni Kopra		017.38081X00 8331		
38879	7590	03/14/2005		[	EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C.					RETTA, YEHDEGA		
P.O. BOX 52 NEW YORK		0150-6257		ſ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,			•	3622		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

İ							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
Advisory Action	09/580,583	KOPRA, TONI					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yehdega Retta	3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period of the period for reply expires</li></ol>	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the ; or (3) a				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	· ——	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,21-34 and 36-41</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Yehdega Retta Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622 Continuation of 11. NOTE: Applicant's argument is that the prior art does not teach automatically employing the location of the mobile terminal to determine content that is related to the linked resource and also related to the location of the mobile terminal. Applicant is correct to the fact that the programming code to automatically employ the location of the mobile terminal would be different than the code to determine content that is related to the linked resource and also related to the location of the mobile terminal. However, only one step is claimed that is, to automatically employ the location of the mobile terminal, since automatically employing the device does not lead to determining the content that is related to the linked resource and also related to the location of the mobile terminal. There is no step or feature claimed that determine the content that is related to the link etc. For the sake of argument, even if the claim recites determining content that is related to the lined resource and the location of the mobile terminal, the prior art teaches accessing a link (advertisement), the web server determines if the user is in the vicinity (location of the mobile terminal) an offer of and addition discount being offered (content related to the advertisement) (see col. 8 lines 36-51).